

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: D. INGMAN

Scrial Number: 10/053,401

Filed: November 9, 2001

Title: WRINKLE CREAM

Art Unit: 1616

PACA, SED 25 2003 #13
PACA, 1800 #13
10/15/03 Examiner: GEORGE, KONATA M

RESPONSE TO ORAL COMMUNICATION

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's proposal to allow claims 1-28, 53-58 and 65 contingent upon cancellation of non-elected claims 29-52, 59-64 and 66, applicant authorizes cancellation of the non-elected claims.

However, applicant wants to bring to the Examiner's attention that in a Written Opinion established by the European Patent Office and mailed on July 29, 2003 for a related PCT Application, No PCT/IL02/00891 for the same invention, the PCT Examiner submits that the invention is anticipated by prior art. A copy of the Written Opinion is enclosed herewith. The prior art on which the Written Opinion is based was forwarded to the Examiner in supplementary IDSs filed on June 27, 2003 and August 25, 2003.

None of the cited prior art teaches the present invention of an emulsion in which gas is encapsulated in shells formed from hydrophilic and hydrophobic particles. The European Examiner supports his opinion by arguing that as soon as the essential ingredients of an emulsion are mixed together, gas is unavoidably encapsulated in a manner described by the present invention in the process of preparation of the emulsion. Since emulsions in prior art cited by the European Examiner comprise hydrophilic and hydrophobic materials, the European Examiner contends that the emulsions encapsulate gas as taught and claimed by the present invention and the prior art inherently anticipates the present invention.

Applicant feels that the European Examiner is wrong. It is very difficult to achieve proper mixing of a hydrophobic material with water to provide an aqueous emulsion and aqueous emulsions comprising a hydrophobic material are conventionally produced using a surfactant or

389/02312 (OLD 158/02312) A02

emulsifier to improve miscibility of the hydrophobic material in water. The prior art documents cited by the European Examiner that describe aqueous emulsions comprising a hydrophobic material illustrate prior art convention and incorporate a surfactant, emulsifier or water soluble polymer that couples to the hydrophobic material to improve miscibility of the hydrophobic material in water. However, a surfactant, emulsifier or suitable polymer operates to suppress encapsulation of gas in the manner described by the present invention and therefore the prior art does not inherently anticipate the present invention.

Respectfully submitted,

D. INGMAN

Allan C. Entis Reg. No. 52,866

September 8, 2003 William H. Dippert, Esq. Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue, 29th flr New York, NY 10022-7650

Tel: (212) 521-5400

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

0 5 -08- 2003 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY ENSTER & Co Fenster, Paul FENSTER & COMPANY Feneter & Co. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 2002 LTD. WRITTEN OPINION P.O.Box 10256 05 -ns- 2003 IL-49002 Petach Tikva ISRAEL (PCT Rule 66) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 29.07.2003 Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing 158/03292 international application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IL02/00891 07/11/2002 09/11/2001 international Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K7/00 Applicant WIZCARE LTD. et al 1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following Items: 🛛 Basis of the opinion ☐ Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Ш Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Sertain defects in the international application

Dertain observations on the international application

In is hereby Invited to reply to this opinion.

See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).

By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.

For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 68.8 and 66.9.

The consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.

The basis of this opinion. ۷I Certain document cited VII Certain observations on the international application VIII 3. The applicant is hereby Invited to reply to this opinion. When? How? Also:

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 09/03/2004.

Name and mailing address of the International preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office - P.B. 6818 Patentiaen 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx; 31 651 epo ni Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized officer / Examiner

Telephone No. +31 70 340 2643

Minas, S

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits) Cherqui, E



i.	Basis	of	the	opinion
----	-------	----	-----	---------

1.	Wil the	th regard to the eler recelving Office in	ments of the International application (Replacement <i>sheets which have been furnished to</i> response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"):
	De	scription, pages:	
	1-1	7	as originally filed
	Cla	ilms, No.:	
	1-5	55	as originally filed
	Dre	awings, sheets:	
	1-6	1	as originally filed
2.	Wit	h regard to the lang guage in which the	juage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	ese elements were o	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a	translation fumished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pu	ublication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
			translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule
3.	With	h regard to any nuc rnational preliminar	sleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the y examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the in	ternational application in written form.
		filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		me international at	t the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in oplication as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that listing has been full	t the information recorded in computer readable form is Identical to the written sequence mished.
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
٠		the claims,	Nos.:

V	VRII	TEN OPINION			Int mational application No.	PCT/IL02/00891
		the drawings,	sheets:			
5.		This report has been considered to go beyo	established and the disc	as if (some of) the amend losure as filed (Rule 70.2)	Iments had not been made, s (c)):	ince they have been
		•		•	st be referred to under item 1	and annexed to this
6.	Ado	ditional observations, if	necessary:			
					ive step and industrial appl	
1.	The obv	questions whether the ious), or to be industria	claimed Inv Ily applicab	vention appears to be nov le have not been and will l	el, to involve an inventive ste not be examined in respect of	o (to be non-
		the entire international				•
	×	claims Nos. 24-42,49-	54,56,			
be	caus	se:				
		the sald international a not require an internat	application, lonal prelim	or the said claims Nos. reinary examination (specif	elate to the following subject n	atter which does
		the description, claims that no meaningful opi	or drawing nion could b	s (<i>indicate particular elem</i> ne formed (<i>specify</i>):	ents below) or said claims No	s. are so unclear
		the claims, or said clair could be formed.	ms Nos. ar	e so inadequately support	ted by the description that no	meaningful opinion
	×	no international search	report has	been established for the	sald claims Nos. 24-42,49-54,	.56.
2.	A wi	ritten opinion cannot be	drawn due		otide and/or amino opid oppos	
		the written form has no	t been furni	shed or does not comply	With the standard	
		the computer readable	form has no	ot been furnished or does	not comply with the standard	•
٧.	Rea: citat	soned statement unde tions and explanations	er Rule 66.2 s supportin	(a)(ii) with regard to nov g such statement	elty, inventive step or indu	strial applicability;
		ement ety (N)	Claims	1-6,9,10,18-20		
		ntive step (IS)	Claims	1-23		

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/IL02/00891

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

As the applicant has not had a search report drawn up on the other inventions, the application will be prosecuted on the basis of the invention in respect of which a search has already been carried out, in other words the invention first mentioned in the claims. Therefor no establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability will be given on the subject matter of claims 24-42,49-54 and 56.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documens:

- JD1: US-A-5 185 155 (NESS JEREMY N ET AL) 9 February 1993 (1993-02-09) cited in the application
- D2: EP-A-0 456 460 (UNILEVER PLC ;UNILEVER NV (NL)) 13 November 1991 (1991-11-13)
- ^J D3: EP-A-0 478 326 (QUEST INT) 1 April 1992 (1992-04-01)
- D4: WO 92 15284 A (MICRO VESICULAR SYSTEMS) 17 September 1992 (1992-09-17)
- ¹ D5: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 1996, no. 06, 28 June 1996 (1996-06-28) & JP 08 053512 A (SHINTO PAINT CO LTD), 27 February 1996 (1996-02-27)
- J D6: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 015, no. 123 (C-0816), 26 March 1991 (1991-03-26) & JP 03 006269 A (HONNY CHEM IND CO LTD;OTHERS: 01), 11 January 1991 (1991-01-11)
- D7: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 200114 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D21, AN 2001-127030 XP002233771 & JP 2000 264815 A (SHINETSU CHEM IND CO LTD), 26 September 2000 (2000-09-26)

The document EP-A-0 288 419 (= D8; (COLGATE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY) 26 october 1988 (1988-10-26)) was not cited in the international search report. A copy of the document is appended hereto.

Support (Article 6 PCT)

Claims 1-23,42-48 and 55 are not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT, as their scope is broader than justified by the description and drawings. As it seems to be clear from the description and claims 11 and 15, the emulsion of the present invention comprises as hydrophilic particles "oxide particles having a surfaces covered with polar radicals" (claim 11) and as hydrophobic particles "oxide particles having surfaces covered with non-polar radicals" (claim 15).

Since independant claims 1,42 and 55 do not contain these features, they do not meet the requirements following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independant claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention and are therefor not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT.

Furthermore it seems very unlikely that any hydrophilic and any hydrophobic particle would perform the invention and a person skilled in the art could, using his common general knowledge, carry out the invention over the whole area claimed without undue burden and without needing inventive skill.

Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

As a general statement concerning the prior art cited against novelty, it is pointed out that during the process of preparation (and mixing) of emulsions it is unavoidable, that air inclusions in the emulsion occurs, unless specific measures of precautions are taken. Therefor, as soon as the essential ingredients are mixed together, air will be encapsulated and present according to the definition of the present invention.

Document D1 discloses methods encapsulation methods to form dispersion of micro-capsulates. The inner layer of the shell encapsulating hydrophobic material is formed by silica particles, whereas the outer layer of the shell is formed by a gelling agent. The gelling step can be carried out by useing a positively charged compound such as a positively charged polymer or a gum or a silicone which, as it attaches itself to the silical shell, is able to form an outer layer on the capsules (see column 2, line 5 to column 3,

line 31; also claims1,5 and 9).

The subject matter of claims 1-6 and 18 is therefor not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

Document D2 discloses cosmetic emulsions (claim 7, examples 1-4) comprising water-dispersible titanium dioxide coated with a material (e.g. Al2O3 or Al2O5Si) to impart a hydrophilic surface property to the particles and oil-dispersible titanium dioxide (optionally also coated) exihibiting a hydrophobic surface property. The particles have an average particle size of less than 100nm (page 2, lines 6 to 15). The subject matter of claims 1,2,4,5,10-13,15,17,18,20 and 21 is therefor not new.

The present application does also not fullfill the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT, as the subject matter of claims 1 and 18 is not new in view of document D3 which discloses aqueous emulsion core-shell particles, having a hydrophobic inner part and a hydrophilic outer layer (colum3, line 56 to column 4, line 20; examples 17-21).

Document D4 discloses gas (claim 14) and oxygen (claim 4) carrying lipid versicles. The lipid shell is structurally supported and stabilized by an additional aqueous soluble polymer (claim 20). The subject matter of claims 1,2, 18 and 19 is therefor not new.

Document D5 discloses a method of producing a microgel by using emulsion particles composed of two layers: a core part consisting of a hydrophobic polymer and a shell part consisting of hydrophilic polymer. The subject matter of claims 1 and 18 is therefor not new.

Document D6 discloses a core-shell resin aqueous emulsion having a hydrophobic polymer core and a hydrophilic polymer shell. The subject matter of claims 1 and 18 is therefor not new.

Document D7 discloses cosmetic compositions (e.g. foundation cream) comprising hydrophilic silica micro particles coated with hydrophobic silica microparticles. The subject matter of claims 1,2,6,18 is therefor not new.

Document D8 finally discloses a formulation comprising water, hydrophobic furned silica (Aerosil R972) and hydrophilic furned silica (Aerosil 200). The formulation allows the capacity to release active or nascent oxygen captured in the composition.

The subject matter of claims 1-3,4-6,9,10,19 and 20 is therefor not new.

Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)

In case the applicant is in the position to overcome the above raised novelty objections, the question of inventive step would still have to be considered.

Hereby also taking into account the remarks about the lack of support (see Item III), that up to now it seems very unlikely that any hydrophilic and any hydrophobic particle would perform the invention and a person skilled in the art could, useing his common general knowledge, carry out the invention over the whole area claimed without undue burden and without needing inventive skill.

ATTY DOCKET NO.
389/02312 (old 158/02312)

SERIAL NO.
389/02312 (old 158/02312)

APPLICANT(S)
Dov INGMAN

Filing
November 9, 2001

GROUP
November 9, 2001

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB- CLASS	Filing date if appropriate
					<u> </u>	
					RECT	EIVED
					SEP	5 2003 TER 1600/2
					-OU CEN	TFR 1600 25
				7	ECH OLI	
						
1					}	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-	TRANSI	ATION
MILIAL		NOMBER			_	CLASS	YES	МО
	1	EP 0 288 419	8 Jul '92	EUROPE				
							-	
					<u> </u>			
							-	
			,					
					1		ľ	

EXAMINER DATE CONSIDERED

Equivalent to Form PTO-1449

Patent and Trademark Office*U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.





SEP **2 5** 2003

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

> Mail Stop: **Commissioner For Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

September 15, 2003 on Date

Welvin X

William H. Dippert Registration No. 26,723

Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue 29th Floor

New York, New York 10022-7650 Tel: 212-521-5400; Fax: 212-521-5450

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify

each submitted paper.

Applicant:

Dov Ingman

Serial No:

10/053,401

Filing Date: For:

November 9, 2001

WRINKLE CREAM

Enclosures:

(1) Transmittal Letter (in duplicate); (2) Response To Oral Communication (2 pages) w/copy of

Written Opinion dated July 29, 2003 (8 pages) & corresponding PTO-1449 form (1 page);

(3) Acknowledgement Postcard.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

DENART OF		RANSMITTAL LETTE General - Patent Pending	111	Docket No. 389/02312 (old 158/
	olication Of: Do	v INGMAN		
	ial No. 953,401	Filing Date November 9, 2001	Examiner GEORGE, KONATA M.	Group Art
<u> </u>		TO THE COMMIS	SIONER FOR PATENTS:	
Transmitte	ed herewith is:	TO THE COMMIS	SIONER FOR PATENTS:	
Respons	e to Oral Commu		ritten Opinion dated July 29, 200	3 corresponding to PC
Respons	e to Oral Commu	nication (including copy of W	ritten Opinion dated July 29, 200	
Respons Applicat	e to Oral Commu	nication (including copy of W 2/00891 and 1-page PTO-1449	ritten Opinion dated July 29, 200	
Respons Application in the abo No	e to Oral Commu tion No. PCT/IL0	nication (including copy of W 2/00891 and 1-page PTO-1449 lication. required.	ritten Opinion dated July 29, 200	3 corresponding to PC SCHCEN 03-34

Charge the amount of \boxtimes Credit any overpayment.

Charge any additional fee required.

RECEIVED 4 CENTER 1600,2900

Signature

X

Dated: September 8, 2003

Maier FENSTER, Rcg. No. 41,016

William H. Dippert, Esq. Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor New York, NY 10022-7650

Tel: (212) 521-5400

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

CC:

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

PE				
SEP 2 2 MIN 32	General - Patent Pending)			Docket No. 389/02312 (old 158/02312)
In Re Application Of: 1	Dov INGMAN			
• •				
Serial No. 10/053,401	Filing Date November 9, 2001		Examiner E, KONATA M.	Group Art Unit 1616
Title: WRINKLE CRI	EAM		W M	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
······································		ONER FOR	DAYPAITO.	SEP 2 5 2003 TECH CENTER 1600 290
	TO THE COMMISSI	UNER FUR F	AIENIS:	TECH 00. 2003
Transmitted herewith is:				CENTER 100
Response to Oral Com	munication (including copy of Wri L02/00891 and 1-page PTO-1449 f	itten Opinion (dated July 29, 200	3 corresponding to PCT 1/000
	202/V0071 and 1-page 1 10 1447 1	,		
as described beid ☐ Charge ti 図 Credit an	is required. nount of is atta ereby authorized to charge and cr		Account No.	03-3419
~==		Dated: S	eptember 8, 2003	
	lgnature		,	
Maier FENSTER, Reg. N	o. 41,016			
William H. Dippert, Esq. Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue, 25 New York, NY 10022-765 Tel: (212) 521-5400			on first class mail unde Commissioner for F 22313-1450.	document and fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as a 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the eatents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA
CC:			Typed or Printed N	iame of Person Mailing Correspondence